

I have been reading, both pro and cons, about the BPL initiative being pursued by FCC. While universal access to the Internet sounds like a panacea, what is at the potential to be destroyed must be preserved.

As a Radio Amateur, I am keen to the use of Amateur Radio as not only a pastime, but a necessity as a secondary communications channel, which could be used in time of an emergency.

The Noise level that BPL has the potential to generate, by all accounts, from 1.8Mhz to 80Mhz, would virtually wipe out all activity on HF bands. That would resort to only VHF and UHF channels being not affected by BPL. Since those bands are considered line-of-sight communications, the idea of long distance communications via HF Radio would be eliminated. Only short haul communications (i.e. Cell Phones) would be feasible.

I am a computer professional, experienced both personally and professionally with the Noise generated by current "last-mile" internet solutions (Cable, DSL, Dial-Up). These have all had technical problems, and have been solved by hard work, not political whim.

While the call for "Universal Access" sounds pleasant, especially to rural areas, this sounds more like a "get rich quick" scheme, so that the Utilities can cash in on the "latest craze".

I truly hope that BPL could be designed so that interference is reduced/eliminated. But rushing to judgement before all the technical information is in does the public, and the FCC's credibility no good.